Reply to Office Action

<u>REMARKS</u>

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-identified application are

respectfully requested. Claims 5, 6 and 20 remain pending, wherein claim 5 has

been amended. Support for the amendment to claim 5 can be found in the

present application on page 16, line 10 through page 17, line 7 and in Fig. 6.

Initially, Applicants note with appreciation the Examiner's allowance of

claims 6 and 20.

The Office Action acknowledges receipt of the Information Disclosure

Statement filed on May 24, 2004, but notes that a form PTO-1449 was not found

in the record. However, as evidenced from the attached date-stamped postcard,

the USPTO acknowledged receipt of a form PTO-1449, along with the

Information Disclosure Statement filed on May 24, 2004. For the Examiner's

convenience, another copy of the form PTO-1449, originally filed on May 24,

2004, is attached. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner consider

the cited documents and return an Examiner-initialed copy of the attached form

PTO-1449.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious in

view of U.S. Patent No. 6,526,335 to Treyz et al. ("Treyz"). This ground of

rejection is respectfully traversed.

Page 7 of 10

Serial No. 10/082,309 Amendment Dated: March 14, 2005

Reply to Office Action

Treyz does not render Applicants' claim 5 obvious because Treyz does not

disclose or suggest all of the elements of Applicants' claim 5. For example, Treyz

does not disclose or suggest that "when said moving terminal device confirms

that said communication device is installed in a predetermined place, said

moving terminal device establishes communication with said audio interactive

server through said communication device and transmits individual information

previously input into said communication device, including ID information, to

said audio interactive server for certification" as recited in Applicants' claim 5.

Treyz discloses an automobile personal computer 14, which can have

integrated cellular telephone capabilities or can work with an external cellular

telephone 320. (Col. 19, lines 51-54 and Figure 13). However, Treyz does not

describe the interaction between the automobile personal computer 14 and

external cellular telephone 320 in detail. Accordingly, Treyz cannot disclose or

suggest that when the automobile personal computer 14 confirms that the

external cellular telephone 320 is installed in a predetermined place "said

moving terminal device establishes communication with said audio interactive

server through said communication device and transmits individual information

previously input into said communication device, including ID information, to

said audio interactive server for certification" as recited in Applicants' claim 5.

By transmitting individual information previous input into the

communication device, including ID information, when the communication

Page 8 of 10

Serial No. 10/082,309

Amendment Dated: March 14, 2005

Reply to Office Action

device is installed in a predetermined place, the moving terminal device recited

in Applicants' claim 5 allows certification of a user without relying upon the car

mounted terminal, which is advantageous when a single car is used by a

plurality of persons. Moreover, even when communication is started with the

audio interactive device, a request in audio form can be input in the car mounted

terminal without requiring device manipulation to initiate the communication.

Because Treyz does not disclose or suggest all of the elements of

Applicants' claim 5, Treyz cannot render Applicants' claim 5 obvious.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of claim 5 is respectfully requested.

All outstanding objections and rejections having been addressed, it is

respectfully submitted that the present application is in immediate condition for

allowance. Notice to this effect is earnestly solicited. If there are any questions

regarding this Reply or the application in general, a telephone call to the

undersigned at 202-624-2710 would be appreciated since this should expedite the

prosecution of the application for all concerned.

Page 9 of 10

Serial No. 10/082,309 Amendment Dated: March 14, 2005 Reply to Office Action

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #056207.50915).

March 14, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey D. Sanok

Registration No. 32,169

Stephen W. Palan

Registration No. 43,420

CROWELL & MORING LLP Intellectual Property Group P.O. Box 14300 Washington, DC 20044-4300 Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500 Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

JDS:SWP